

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/801,598 03/17/2004		Sakari Kotola	4208-4183 (NC45113)	1909	
27123	27123 7590 09/05/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101		NGUYEN	nguyen, simon		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			2618		

DATE MAILED: 09/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/801,598	KOTOLA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SIMON D. NGUYEN	2618	

Advisory Action	10/801,598	KOTOLA ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SIMON D. NGUYEN	2618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 07 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	06.07(f).	26(a) and the annuaries	la automolon for
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.176(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing that the mailing date the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)). to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO ⁻ w);	TE below);	
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a 			the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	······································	50.00 5.d5.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7,9-41 and 45.	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	I be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>8 and 42-44</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ice because:
 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
	SIMON NOUVEN PRIMARY EXAMINER	SIMON D NGUYEN Examiner Art Unit: 2618	1

Continuation of 13. Other: the independent claims have been added with new limitations, e.g. download time and place information. Thus, it is required more search.